

TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE 12:30 p.m., Thursday, February 22, 2024 KIPDA Burke Room 11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, Kentucky 40299

Please review the following notes:

TPC members and the public may attend the meeting at 11520 Commonwealth Drive, Louisville KY. TPC members and the public may participate, observe, and comment online. All TPC members will be provided a unique web-link to the Zoom (video conference) in advance of the meeting. All TPC voting members when participating online must activate their web cameras during the meeting per Kentucky Open Meetings and Open Records Statutes. The public may review the meeting materials and find the web-link to the video meeting at: <u>https://www.kipda.org/committees-and-councils/transportation-policy-committee/meeting-information/</u> There will be a public comment period at the beginning of the TPC meeting. The public may also submit comments in advance of the meeting by emailing KIPDA.trans@kipda.org.

AGENDA

- I. Call to Order, Welcome, Roll Call
- 2. January 2024 TPC Meeting Minutes Review and approval (see enclosed). Action requested.
- **3.** Public Comment Period The TPC Chair will facilitate a review of comments submitted prior to the TPC meeting and entertain comments offered as part of Agenda Item 3.
- **4.** Public Involvement Report Staff will report on activities undertaken to engage the public, comments received, and future public involvement opportunities (see enclosed).
- Amendment 5 to the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program and the Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan – Staff will discuss the projects and changes for proposed Amendment 5 to the CK2050 MTP and FY 2023-2026 TIP. Action Requested
- 6. Performance Management Plan Annual Safety Update Staff will review proposed modifications to the KIPDA PMP Safety Targets. Action Requested
- 7. Every Commute Counts FY 2025 Surface Transportation Block Grant Budget Adjustment Staff will deliver the budget adjustments and funding reallocation targets in KIPDA's Every Commute Counts Program for STBG funds. Action requested.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org





- Draft FY 2025 Unified Planning Work Program Staff will discuss the latest on the development of the draft FY 2025 UPWP including funding and planning actives that will be carried out by the MPO. Action Requested
- **9.** Amendment 6 Schedule Staff will provide the latest timeline for Amendment 6 to the FY2023-2026 TIP and CK2050 MTP.
- 10. Administrative Modification 9 to the FY 2023-FY 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) & CK2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Staff will present information on Administrative Modifications to the MTP and TIP.
- **II.** MPO Director's Report
- **12.** Other Business
- **I3.** Adjourn



MEETING MINUTES TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE (TPC) I 2:30 p.m., January 25, 2024 In-Person and Via Video Conference

Call to Order

Vice-Chair J. Byron Chapman called the meeting to order at 12:32 p.m. After roll call was taken, it was determined that there was a quorum present.

Review and Approval of TPC Minutes

Keith Griffee, Bullitt County, made a motion to approve the minutes for the November TPC meeting. Matt Meunier, City of Jeffersontown, seconded the motion, and it carried with a unanimous vote.

Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

Public Involvement Report

Greg Burress, KIPDA staff, reported on activities undertaken to engage the public. No action was required.

Louisville Regional Airport Authority Presentation

Megan Thoben from the Louisville Regional Airport authority provided the latest updates and newest things happening at the Louisville Muhammad Ali International Airport. No action was required.

2024 Transportation Policy Committee Officers

The nominating committee recommended J. Byron Chapman of Jefferson County League of Cities as Chair and Keith Griffee of Bullitt County as Vice-Chair.

Matt Bullock, Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, made a motion to accept the nominating committee's recommendation. Claire Johnson, Town of Clarksville, seconded the motion, and it carried with a unanimous vote.

Keith Griffee, Bullitt County, made a motion to close nominations. Rick Tonini, City of St. Matthews, seconded the motion, and it carried with a unanimous vote.

Rick Tonini, City of St. Matthews, made a motion to ratify J. Byron Chapman's nomination to TPC Chair. Keith Griffee, Bullitt County, seconded the motion, and it carried with a unanimous vote.

Matt Meunier, City of Jeffersontown, made a motion to ratify Keith Griffee's nomination to TPC Vice-Chair. Rick Tonini, City of St. Matthews, seconded the motion, and it carried with a unanimous vote.

Federal Railroad Administration Corridor Grant

Kyle Thorne, KIPDA staff, delivered the latest updates and timeline for the FRA planning grant. There was discussion. No action was required.

Quarterly Project Report - Indiana

Brady Hill, KIPDA staff, provided information related to the latest Quarterly Project meeting from the state of Indiana. No action was required.

Safe Streets for All Grant

Spencer Williams, KIPDA staff, discussed the latest developments for the SS4A grant. No action was required.

Amendment 5 Schedule

Chris Nicolas, KIPDA staff, gave a status update for Amendment 5 to the MTP and TIP. No action was required.

Administrative Modification to the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Chris Nicolas, KIPDA staff, provided information on Administrative Modification 8 to the FY 2023-2026 TIP. No action was required.

MPO Director's Report

Andy Rush, KIPDA staff, provided the following:

- Announced two departures from KIPDA Transportation staff (Transportation Planner Jeremeih Shaw and Nita Robinson of Every Commute Counts).
- Local dues will be discussed at the Board meeting following TPC.
- Recognized Bernie Bowling for his term as TPC Chair.
- Noted committee members who joined the meeting after roll call.

2024 Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee Officers

The TTCC voted to retain Matt Meunier (City of Jeffersontown) as Chair and Aida Copic (TARC) as Vice-Chair.

Rick Tonini, City of St. Matthews, made a motion to ratify the TTCC nominations. Keith Griffee, Bullitt County, seconded the motion, and it carried with a unanimous vote.

Other Business

There was no other business.

<u>Adjournment</u>

The meeting was adjourned at 1:38 p.m.

Andy Rush Recording Secretary

Members Present: Keith Griffee **Tubby Purcell** Matt Meunier Claire Johnson Maria Johnson Bernie Bowling (Chair) Rick Tonini *Tonya Higdon Nick Creevy Kathy Eaton-McKalip Tony McClellan J. Byron Chapman Isidro Delgado *Matt Bullock Nicole George

Representing: Bullitt County

City of Charlestown City of Charlestown City of Jeffersontown City of New Albany City of Shively City of St. Matthews Federal Highway Administration – Kentucky Floyd County Indiana Department of Transportation Indiana Department of Transportation Indiana Department of Transportation – Seymour Jefferson County League of Cities Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Kentucky Transportation Cabinet – District 5 Louisville Metro Government

Ozzy Gibson	TARC
Mike Huff	Town of Clarksville

Members Absent:

City of Jeffersonville Clark County *Federal Aviation Administration – Memphis *Federal Highway Administration – Indiana *Federal Transit Administration – Region 4 Floyd County Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District *Louisville Metro Planning & Design Services Louisville Regional Airport Authority Oldham County *U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Other Attendees

Valerie Mohr John Schellenberger Derek Guthrie Helen Hacker Miranda Smeck Taylor Herrin Tom Hall Tracy Lovell **Greg Burress** Felicia Harper Zach Herzog Brady Hill Chris Nicolas Andy Rush Jeremeih Shaw Randy Simon Kyle Thorne Spencer Williams Shawn Dikes Amanda Deatherage Dirk Gowin Mike King Megan Thoben Karie Koehneke Earl Wacker Alex Posorske **Rob Stephens** WSP Elizabeth Farc G. Brown Nicholas

AECOM Floyd County Gonzalaz Companies Greater Louisville Inc. HDR Indiana Department of Transportation – Seymour Kentucky Transportation Cabinet - District 5 Kentucky Transportation Cabinet – District 5 KIPDA KIPDA KIPDA KIPDA **KIPDA** KIPDA **KIPDA** KIPDA KIPDA KIPDA Lochmueller Group Louisville Metro Government Louisville Metro Government Louisville Metro Government Louisville Regional Airport Authority Patrick Engineering Patrick Engineering TARC TARC

* Denotes Advisory Members





Agenda Item #4

MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Policy Committee

FROM: Greg Burress

DATE: February 15, 2024

SUBJECT: Public Involvement Report

The attached report outlines public engagement activities and results from January of this year. The month of January saw strong organic numbers from KIPDA's Facebook page, where only one post did not exceed the century mark in impressions. KIPDA also saw its strongest targeted paid campaign return for proposed Amendment 5 to the CK2050 MTP and FY2023-2026 TIP with over 30,000 impressions and nearly 3,200 engagements. These targeted ads help increase awareness especially in low income, limited English, and minority populations of the KIPDA region.

Twitter/X saw a slight drop compared to overall impressions from December of 2023 but still encouraging numbers in comparison to previous outreach reports in 2023. Instagram continued to rise in impressions where KIPDA almost broke the threshold of 1,000 impressions. As mentioned above, the public had an opportunity to comment on Amendment 5. Those campaign highlights, including other comments from social media posts that were unrelated to Amendment 5 can be found in the attached report.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org

KIPDA TRANSPORTATION

TRANSPORTATION OUTREACH REPORT



SOCIAL MEDIA

January 2024



12 Posts 32,300 Impressions 4,321 Engagements



.....

.....



12 Posts 952 Impressions 84 Engagements

WEBSITE UNIQUE VISITS

January 2024

Transportation Main Page

339

TIP Page 220

MTP Page 96

EVENTS & OTHER OUTREACH

- Middletown Chamber of Commerce
- Kentucky Association of Government Communicators
- Outreach Meeting with TARC & KAIRE



- January 24- February 7
- 21 total comments
- 15 project likes
- Hybrid Public Meeting January 31



Organically 31K total Impressions 4.2K Engagements Paid 33K Impressions 3.1 Engagements





Total Engagements

...

SOCIAL MEDIA COMMENTS

KIPDA

Kentuckiana Regional Planning & Development Agency KIPDA Published by Greg Burress () · February 1 at 3:50 PM · ()

You have until February 7th to leave feedback on changes to our long and short-term planning documents. Help shape transportation with your feedback today. Visit https://kipdatransportation.org/amendment5/.

#outreach #transportation

"Hey, this is from mostly everyone But why don't you get your people out here to finish these roads please."

"Fill these potholes in and then I'll be statifiedWest end especially "

"How about making road repairs all over the City & County! They are all in atrocious condition!!"

"Getting on 64 East from New Albany there's already 1 foot chunk of concrete missing on the road were the bridge expansion joint is on left lane by concrete divider..... stop going with the cheapest bid."

"Reapair(sic) the gene Snyder going west fill in potholes all around the city " "These (bump outs) on central Avenue between 7th and Taylor are dangerous. And costly. They are already damaged and idk how many tires, rims, suspensions have been damaged. I filed a complaint before they were even finished because I I have lived here my whole life and knew what was going to happen. Also. Potholes all over the city are horrible."

"1. Use you (sic) easement power to add atleast one more on ramp from shellbyville (sic) rd south onto 264 west. I mean come-on man. That's low hanging fruit that's need to be done since the construction of the road.

2. Add someone kind of turnpike or connector to Dixie hwy. You should be able to access this major road from another point then (sic) just at 264. Just look around you. This cities (sic) traffic is caused by poor city planning."

"Roads first"



Agenda Item #5

MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee (TPC)
- FROM: Chris Nicolas
- DATE: February 15, 2024
- SUBJECT: Amendment 5 to Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

KIPDA staff are ready to present Amendment 5 to the committee for consideration. The complete project listing, air quality conformity analysis and Interagency Consultation (IAC) meeting minutes can be viewed in the Amendment 5 packet here:



Sponsors were given until December 15, 2023, to submit project changes. This is a full amendment and includes a mix of 30 exempt and non-exempt project updates. The public comment period was open for 15 days from January 24, 2024, to February 7, 2024, including a hybrid open house from 5-6 pm on January 31, 2024.

Greg Burress sent the public comments to the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) members on February 8, 2024, as part of the 15-day review.

TPC action is requested to approve Amendment 5



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org



Amendment 5

Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) & Fiscal Year 2023- 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

TPC Approval Scheduled for February 22, 2024





FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program & Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

The Kentuckiana Regional Planning and Development Agency (KIPDA) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the five-county region covering Jefferson, Bullitt and Oldham Counties in Kentucky and Clark and Floyd Counties in Indiana. The MPO's responsibilities include producing a long-range transportation document, known as *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and a short-range planning document, the Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Changes have been proposed to the TIP and MTP. The TIP, with the proposed changes, remains fiscally constrained. This packet includes the following document:

- Schedule for Amendment 5
- A listing of all projects being added, removed and/or modified
- Air Quality conformity documentation
- Meeting minutes from the Interagency Consultation (IAC) conference call

Providing comments for the proposed changes can be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Visiting <u>https://kipdatransportation.org/amendment5/</u> and click on the Amendment 5 Map link
- Emailing kipda.trans@kipda.org
- Mail to the following address
- Call with your comments at 502-266-6144 ext 123, 1-800-648-6056 (KY TDD) or 1-800-962-8408 (IN TDD)

TIP and MTP Amendment, KIPDA

11520 Commonwealth Drive, Louisville, KY 40299

• Attend the hybird open house on January 31st from 5:00 to 6:00 pm at the Floyd County Library in New Albany, Indiana and via Zoom. Members of the public are encouraged to ask questions and leave comments. A link to the virtual portion of the public meeting can be found at: <u>https://kipdatransportation.org/amendment5/</u>

Please contact Community and Committee Engagement Specialist Greg Burress at 502-562-6144 ext. 123 or via email at <u>greg.burress@kipda.org</u> for additional questions or information.



ΚΙΡΟΑ

AMENDMENT 5 SCHEDULE

Connecting Kentuckiana (CK) 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 - 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

WHY ARE THERE AMENDMENTS TO THE MTP & TIP?

New projects that are not regionally significant and qualify as Group Projects, as well as many minor changes to existing projects, can be added through an administrative modification. Administrative modifications can be processed within 30 days.

New projects and project changes that do not fit the criteria above must be added to the MTP and/or TIP through an amendment. There are many reasons why a project must be amended. Adding a regionally significant project that does not fit KIPDA's Group Projects policy or changing the scope of a roadway project to add a travel lane are both examples of projects that must be amended. While every effort is made to expedite amendments, the process can take up to 6 months.

	Project applications (new or modified) are due from sponsors	December 15, 2023
KEY STEPS & Interager TIMING Public co Transpor Recomm	KIPDA staff completes project review	January 3, 2024
KEY STEPS	Air quality conformity activities	January 4, 2024- January 23, 2024
_	Interagency Consultation Group (IAC) Coordination	Week of January 15, 2024
IIMING	Public comment period	January 24, 2024 February 7, 2024
	Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee (TTCC) Recommendation	February 14, 2024
	Comments sent to the Transportation Policy Committee (TPC)	February 8, 2024
	TPC Action	February 22, 2024
	The MTP & TIP amendment process is NOT an opportunity to request MPO dedic	cated funds.
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	All new projects and changes to existing projects must be submitted through the found on KIPDA's Transportation Planning Portal.	Project Application form
	The Portal can be accessed at the following address:	

https://kipdatransportation.org/forms/

MTP Action:	Add new project				
TIP Action:	Add new project				
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Non-exempt		Model Impact:	Add to 2030,2035,2040, and 2050 scenar	
Project Sponsor:	Louisville Metro Government	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	TBD
County:	Jefferson	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	One-Way to Two- Way Conversion of 2nd Street and 3rd Street	Funding Source:	Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A)	Open to Public Date:	2027
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$12,500,000 Total Cost Programmed in TIP \$12,500,000 to date:				00,000
Description:	(MP 10.32) and Broadw termini, including consi previously warranted tr dedicated left- and righ appropriate speed limit	vay (MP 12.10). Design deration of: rebuild tra raffic signals and conve t-turn lanes, bicycle lar s for all road users.	from one-way couplets to and construct safety impr ffic signals to box spans w rt to all-way stops, crossw nes, walkways such as side	rovements at all intersed vith retroreflective back valk visibility enhanceme walks and ADA ramps,	ctions in between the plates, remove ents, curb bulb-outs, lighting, and set
Justification:	the other street for thr	ough-motorists. Appr	n by emphasizing one stre opriate vehicular speeds a re equitable and sustainabl	nd calmed traffic will re	store the neighborhood
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 Planning phase \$60,000 (Federal) + \$40 FY 2024 Design phase v \$138,000 (Federal) + \$9 FY 2025 Design phase v \$240,000 (Federal) + \$9 FY 2025 ROW phase v \$45,000 (Federal) + \$30 FY 2025 Construction \$7,017,000 (Federal) +	0,000 (Other) = \$100,0 with SS4A funds: 92,000 (Other) = \$230 with SS4A funds: 160,000 (Other) = \$40 with SS4A funds: 0,000 (Other) = \$75,00 phase with SS4A funds	,000 (Total) 0,000 (Total) 00 (Total) :		

MTP Action:	Update project name, description, total project cost to reflect recombined phases						
TIP Action:	Update project name, description, total project cost and TIP funding to reflect recombined phases						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No char	ge to model		
Project Sponsor:	Floyd County Board of Commissioners		2128	State ID:	1400550- <u>& 1800900</u>		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	Charlestown Road Complete Street - Multi-Use Trail <u>Phase 1</u>	Funding Source:	CMAQ-MPO & HSIP-State	Open to Public Date:	2024		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$3,331,239 \$5,163,310 to date:			. ,	331,239 163,310		
Description:	bike trail that connects	several large neighbor	to Lewis Endres Parkway. hoods to commercial and sportation for bike and pe	recreational nodes alo			
Justification:	section of trail from Su which will be construct Anticipated outcome w Charlestown Road Cor	nset Drive to Chapel I red in the future will co vill be the creation of a	request is to split the pro ane and include the const onnect Chapel Lane to Co facility that would increas	ruction of a pedestria unty Line Road. se pedestrian and bikir	n bridge. Phase two-		
	to reduce emissions an	d increase safety.	arterial. It has been desig	ned to increase these	modes of transportation		

MTP Action:	Remove from MTP				
TIP Action:	Remove from TIP				
Exempt/Non	Eva	mpt		No chang	e to model
Exempt:	Exe	Inpt	Model Impact:		
Project Sponsor:	Floyd County Board of Commissioners	KIPDA ID:	3047	State ID:	2301652
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Charlestown Road Complete Street - Multi-Use Trail Phase 2	Funding Source:	CMAQ-MPO	Open to Public Date:	2026
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,84	\$1,842,692		\$1,842,692	
Description:	Construction of a multi	-use path on Charlestov	wn Rd to connect Chape	l Lane to County Line F	Road.
Justification:	section of trail from Su	nset Drive to Chapel La	equest is to split the pro ne and include the const nect Chapel Lane to Co	ruction of a pedestrian	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2026 Construction (\$1,474,153 (Federal) + 3	• • •			
MTP Action:	Update Total Estimated	Project Cost			
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding		1		
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change	to the model
Project Sponsor:	Clarksville	KIPDA ID:	2393	State ID:	1700725
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	Riverside Drive	Funding Source:	Various	Open to Public Date:	2024
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$7,34 \$10,24	,	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	• *	36,502 41,966
Description:			its to Ashland Park, inclu uth side of roadway. 0.25	•	king on both sides of
Justification:	Reconstruction of the e facilities.	existing roadway, improv	ving the safety of the cor	ridor and improving peo	destrian and bicycle
	FY 2023 Construction \$134,029 (Federal) + \$3				
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 Construction (\$0 (Federal) + \$575,000				
	FY 2024 Construction				

MTP Action:	Add new project						
TIP Action:	Add new project						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exempt		Model Impact:	No chang	ge to model		
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	1802047		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	I-64 CCTV/DMS from 3 miles west of SR 69 to 1 mile west of SR 62/64, Excluding Vanderburgh & Warrick Counties	Funding Source:	Interstate Management (IM)	Open to Public Date:	2030		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$6,40	0,000	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$6,400,000			
Description:	• •	st of SR 62/64, Excludi	vnamic Message Signs on ng Vanderburgh & Warric ounty.				
Justification:	will improve traffic mor	The deployment of CCTV Cameras and Dynamic Message Signs on I-64 across Southern and Southwestern Indiana will improve traffic monitoring and incident response capabilities by INDOT Traffic Management Center personnel and greatly improve pre-trip and en-route traveler information.					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 Preliminary En \$450,000 (Federal) + \$! *FY 2028 Construction \$5,310,000 (Federal) +	50,000 (Other) = \$500, with IM funds:	000 (Total)				
*Funds programmed i	n fiscal years outside of th	ne current 2023-2026 T	TP years				
1 0	,		•				

	Update description and project limits						
TIP Action:	Update description and project limits						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change to	o the model		
Project Sponsor:	Clarksville	KIPDA ID:	3018	State ID:	2300582		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	Progress Way Roadway Improvements	Funding Source:	STBG-MPO	Open to Public Date:	2028		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	Total Cost \$6,250,000 Programmed in TIP \$6,250,000 to date: \$6,250,000 \$6,250,000						
Description:	width of the lanes will r	not change, instead they	dewalks, and drainage alor will be shifted south sligh ion with Addmore and Pr	htly to allow for drainage			
Justification:	large apartment complet around Veteran's Parkw for capacity, but instead walking path. These are The area west of Sam C	ex, both near the Addm vay and the additional a l a need to increase safe needed to increase safe Gwin is highly traveled b s the roadway in their r	ogress Way. This includes ore Lane Intersection. Th partments will increase th ety along the roadway. Th ety along the entire corrie y individuals living in the s notorized scooters. This	e area is already well tra le traffic on the roadway le road lacks drainage, cu dor. Senior Housing along Gr	veled as a bypass . There is not a need urbs, gutters and a reentree North. They		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY25 Right of Way pha \$300,000 (Federal) + \$7 *FY27 Utilities phase w \$700,000 (Federal) + \$7	'5,000 (Other) = \$375,0 ith STBG-MPO funds:	000 (Total)				

MTP Action:	Remove child project (Parent remains in MTP, child remains in TIP)						
TIP Action:	None						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	empt	Model Impact:	No change	to the model		
Project Sponsor:	Oldham County	KIPDA ID:	2175	State ID:	5-410.00		
County	Oldham	Parent ID:	327	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	Old LaGrange Road Improvements Oldham County Bicycle & Pedestrian Trail	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - MPO	Open to Public Date:	2026		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,563,383		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$2,563,383			
Description:	,	Construct a bicycle and pedestrian trail section along Old LaGrange Road from North Camden Lane to the KY 3 Bypass and on to the intersection with KY 146 in Crestwood.					
Justification:	To provide improved a	ccess for pedestrians a	nd bicyclists along Old La	Grange Road.			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 ROW phase v \$214,676 (Federal) + \$ FY 2024 ROW phase v \$340,324 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 Utilities phase \$750,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Construction \$500,000 (Federal) + \$	48,302 (Other) = \$262 vith STBG-State funds: 85,081 (Other) = \$425 with STBG-MPO fund 187,500 (Other) = \$93 phase with STBG-MPC	978 (Total) 405 (Total) s: 7,500 (Total) 9 funds:				

MTP Action:	Remove project						
TIP Action:	None						
Exempt/Non							
Exempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change to the model			
Project Sponsor:	Clark County	KIPDA ID:	3178	State ID:	400935		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	Salem-Noble Road From Highway 62 to Jeffersonville- Charlestown Pike	Funding Source:	STBG-MPO	Open to Public Date:	2029		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$4,54	40,850	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$4,540,850			
Description:	Noble Road and Jeffers Salem-Noble Road proj	The project begins at the intersection of Highway 62 and Salem-Noble Road and extends to the intersection of Salem-Noble Road and Jeffersonville-Charlestown Pike approximately 0.35 miles. The project is a part of the Clark County Salem-Noble Road project that started in 2004. The project plans to widen the roadway, add sidewalk on one side, and improve drainage along the corridor.					
Justification:	needed to help improve	e the growth in the area	oble Road in 2004. Impro . The improved project ian safe sidewalk for this	corridor will provide th	-		
FY 23-26 TIP		-	N/A				
Funding:							
MTP Action:	Add to MTP						
TIP Action:	Remove Group ID, upo	late TIP funding and upo	late the Total Estimated	Project Cost			
Exempt/Non	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change	to the model		
Exempt:			-	-			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2847	State ID:	1900366		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2678		
Project Name:	US 150 at Old Vincennes Road	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - State	Open to Public Date:	2027		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	-	5,948 94,489	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$566,948 \$704,799			
Description:	Intersection Improvem I-64.	ent with added turn land	es at intersection of US f	150 and Old Vincennes	Road, 0.5 miles west of		
Justification:	Vincennes Road in such	a manner that traffic o	l Vincennes Road to US n US 150 does not signifi		d US 150 to Old		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 Preliminary En \$20,168 (Federal) + \$5, FY 2025 Utilities phase \$17,600 (Federal) + \$4, FY 2025 Construction	000 (Other) = \$20,000 gineering phase with ST 042 (Other) = \$25,210 with STBG-State funds: 400 (Other) = \$22,000 phase with NHPP funds	BG-State funds: (Total) (Total) (Total) :				
	FY 2025 Construction	109,390 (Other) = \$546 (CN) phase with NHPP 127,518 (Other) = \$637	funds:				

	None						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and	d OTP	1				
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Non-	Exempt	Model Impact:	Remove from	2025 scenario.		
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2616	State ID:	1700135		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	Widening of I-65	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2025 2026		
Total Estimated Project Cost:		796,953 971,778	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$270 796 953			
Description:	Widen I-65 from 4 to 28.88).	Widen I-65 from 4 to 6 lanes from 0.25 miles south of Biggs Road (RP 16+42) in Clark County to Scottsburg (RP					
Justification:	replace the existing un	The purpose of this project is to address the safety concern of the wet spots, remove the stripped HMA pavement, replace the existing underdrain system, and improve the subgrade beneath the pavement and construct added travel lanes in this portion of I-65.					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	The purpose of this project is to address the safety concern of the wet spots, remove the stripped HMA pavemen replace the existing underdrain system, and improve the subgrade beneath the pavement and construct added trave						

	Update total estimated	project cost						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding							
Exempt/Non- Exempt:	Non-Exempt	Model Impact:	I	No change to the model.			No change to the model.	
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2899	State ID:	1900162			
County	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A			
Project Name:	I-64 Added Travel Lanes	Funding Source:	Various	Open to Public Date:	2027			
Total Estimated Project Cost:		40,000 83,885	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$ 159,68 \$159,88	,			
Description:	extending to Main Street improvements to the in	et. Project also includes a iterchanges	• •	/ Street with additional pa om I-64 to north of State S				
Justification:	To improve traffic cong	sestion and accessibility.						
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	of I-64 at US 150 and I-265. To improve traffic congestion and accessibility. FY 2023 Preliminary Engineering phase with NHPP funds: \$9,379,332 (Federal) + \$5,248,368 (Other) = \$14,627,700 (Total) FY 2023 Utilities PE phase with NHPP funds: \$0 (Federal) + \$68,500 (Other) = \$68,500 (Total) FY 2024 Right of Way phase with IM funds: \$225,000 (Federal) + \$25,000 (Other) = \$250,000 (Total) FY 2024 Utilities PE phase with NHPP funds: \$240,000 (Federal) + \$60,000 (Other) = \$600,000 (Total) FY 2025 Construction phase with NHPP funds: \$19,842,336 (Federal) + \$2,204,704 (Other) = \$22,047,040 (Total) FY 2025 Utilities PE phase with NHPP funds: \$19,842,336 (Federal) + \$40,000 (Other) = \$400,000 (Total)							

MTP Action:	Update Total Estimated	d Project Cost						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding							
Exempt/Non		-						
Exempt:	Non-t	Exempt	Model Impact:	No change	to the model			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3150	State ID:	2200016			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A			
Project Name:	I-64 New Bridge Over I-64 EB to I-265 EB Ramp	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2027			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	1-,	30,494 54,952	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$6,630,494 \$8,954,952				
Description:	New bridge construction	on on I-64 over the I-64	eastbound to the I-265	eastbound ramp.				
Justification:	This project will provid	This project will provide a new eastbound alignment over I-64 eastbound to the I-265 eastbound ramp.						
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Construction \$5,967,145 (Federal) + FY 2025 Construction \$8,059,457 (Federal) +	\$663,049 (Other) \$6,6 (CN) phase with NHPF	30,191 (Total) ? funds:					
MTP Action:	Update total estimated							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and		1					
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Non-	Exempt	Model Impact:	Remove project from 2030 scenario				
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2967	State ID:	2100036			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A			
Project Name:	SR 64 Added Travel Lane	Funding Source:	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - State	Open to Public Date:	2027 2032			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$13,735,051 \$21,979,552		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	4 - , -	35,051 35,051			
Description:	Added travel lane on Sl Floyd County.	R 64 from 2,150' west o	of the existing Oakes Roa	d intersection to Edwar	dsville-Galena Road in			
Justification:	travel lanes will reduce	driver delay for the sid	oughput on SR 64 by impr e roads and mainline SR 6 her west towards George	64 in the design year. Th	e added lanes to SR 64			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 ROW phase v \$776,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2024 Preliminary En \$800,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 ROW phase v \$776,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Utilities phase \$336,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Construction \$8,836,041 (Federal) +	194,000 (Other) = \$970 Igineering phase with ST 200,000 (Other) = \$1,0 vith STBG-State funds: 194,000 (Other) = \$970 with STBG-State funds 84,000 (Other) = \$420, phase with STBG-State	TBG-State funds: 00,000 (Total) 0,000 (Total) :: 000 (Total) funds:					

	Opdate total estimate	d project cost			
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding an	id phases			
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Ex	empt	Model Impact:	Remove project fr	rom 2025 scenario.
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2943	State ID:	2000288
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A
Project Name:	I-64 and Spring Street Interchange Modification	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2025 2030
Total Estimated	\$3.5	73,737	Total Cost	\$3.57	73,737
Project Cost:		844,811	Programmed in TIP		96,736
•	Interchange modificati	on at ramp junctions w	to date: ith Spring Street, including	Spring Street from 5th	Street to State Stree
Description:	_	n 5th Street to Washing			
Justification:	Spring Street to accord determine the effects scenarios along the Sp redistribute traffic wit recommended signal t incorporated, the LOS	nplish the conversion ar of the conversion on ex ring Street corridor. W hin the existing traffic n iming, cycle length, and	et. Clark Dietz was hired t and to perform a traffic cap kisting traffic operations. T thile the proposed one-wa etwork, the surrounding i storage length adjustment ections will not be negative s.	acity analysis of the Spri This traffic capacity analy by to two-way conversio ntersections will still op as are incorporated. Wit	ing Street corridor to sis evaluates three on of Spring Street wi perate efficiently if the ch these adjustments
	FY 2025 Construction	e with NHPP funds: 10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 1 (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2	P funds:		
Funding:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) +	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2	P funds:		
Funding: MTP Action:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2	P funds:		
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) +	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2	P funds:		
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2	P funds:	No change t	to the model
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost	P funds: 2 ,823,856 (Total)	No change t	to the model
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP + \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID:	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148	State ID:	2100019
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost 	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact:		1
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19	2100019 N/A
Funding: MTP Action: FIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Fotal Estimated Project Cost:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$4,1 \$8,1	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP + \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 95,341	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19	2100019 N/A 2027 95,341
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Project Name: Fotal Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$4,1 \$8,1 Lighting improvement	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP + \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: \$5,341 93,961 s from the I-64/I-265 im	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19 \$8,19	2100019 N/A 2027 95,341 93,961
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$4,1 \$8,1 Lighting improvement This project will improvement	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP + \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: \$5,341 93,961 s from the I-64/I-265 im	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: terchange to US 150. conditions along I-64 from	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19 \$8,19	2100019 N/A 2027 95,341 93,961
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$4,1 \$8,1 Lighting improvement This project will impro	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP - \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eed Project Cost Rempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 95,341 93,961 s from the I-64/I-265 impove visibility and safety of the safety of	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: terchange to US 150. conditions along I-64 from ls:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19 \$8,19	2100019 N/A 2027 25,341 23,961
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$4,1 \$8,1 Lighting improvement: This project will impro FY 2025 Construction \$3,775,807 (Federal) +	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP + \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 95,341 93,961 s from the I-64/I-265 into ove visibility and safety on phase with NHPP functor + \$419,534 (Other) = \$40	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: terchange to US 150. conditions along I-64 from Is: 1,195,341 (Total)	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19 \$8,19	2100019 N/A 2027 95,341 93,961
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Justification:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$4,1 \$8,1 Lighting improvement: This project will impro \$3,775,807 (Federal) + FY 2025 Construction	H0,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP + \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 95,341 93,961 s from the I-64/I-265 in: ove visibility and safety of phase with NHPP funce + \$419,534 (Other) = \$40 a (CN) phase with NHP	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: terchange to US 150. conditions along I-64 from Is: 4,195,341 (Total) P funds:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19 \$8,19	2100019 N/A 2027 95,341 93,961
Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name:	\$40,000 (Federal) + \$7 FY 2025 Construction \$2,259,085 (Federal) + Update Total Estimate Update TIP funding Ex INDOT Floyd I-64 Lighting \$4,1 \$8,1 Lighting improvement: This project will impro \$3,775,807 (Federal) + FY 2025 Construction	10,000 (Other) = \$50,00 + (CN) phase with NHP + \$564,771 (Other) = \$2 ed Project Cost eempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 95,341 93,961 s from the I-64/I-265 into ove visibility and safety on phase with NHPP functor + \$419,534 (Other) = \$40	P funds: 2,823,856 (Total) Model Impact: 3148 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: terchange to US 150. conditions along I-64 from Is: 4,195,341 (Total) P funds:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$4,19 \$8,19	2100019 N/A 2027 25,341 23,961

MTP Action:	Add to MTP				
TIP Action:	Remove Group ID, upd	late TIP funding and to	tal estimated project cost		
Exempt/Non Exempt:		empt Exempt	Model Impact:	No change t	o the model
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3153	State ID:	2200019
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-265 Bridge Replacement	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2027
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$4,834,424 \$6,481,377		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$4,83 \$6,48	
Description:	Bridge replacement on	I-265 from the westbo	ound I-265 ramp to EB I-64	4 over eastbound I-64 to	eastbound I-265 ramp.
Justification:	This project will replac	e the I-265 bridge to a	ccommodate the I-64 add	ed travel lanes project.	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Construction \$4,350,982 (Federal) + FY 2025 Construction \$5,833,239 (Federal) +	\$483,442 (Other) = \$4 (CN) phase with NHP	1,834,424 (Total) P funds:		
MTP Action:	Add to MTP				
TIP Action:	Remove Group ID, upd	late TIP funding and to	otal estimated project cost		
Exempt/Non Exempt:		empt Exempt	Model Impact:	No change t	o the model
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3152	State ID:	2200018
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-64 Westbound Bridge Replacement Over Captain Frank Road	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2027
Total Estimated Project Cost:		41,532 56,009	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$4,04 \$5,15	
Description:	Bridge replacement on	I-64 westbound over	Captain Frank Road.		
Justification:	This is a bridge replace	ment project to accom	nmodate the I-64 added tr	avel lanes project.	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Construction \$4,041,532 (Federal) + FY 2025 Construction	\$404,153 (Other) = \$4	4,041,532 (Total)		

MTP Action:	Add to MTP				
TIP Action:	Remove Group ID, upd	late TIP funding and to	otal estimated project cost		
Exempt/Non Exempt:		empt Exempt	Model Impact: No c	No change t	o the model
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3151	State ID:	2200017
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-64 Eastbound Bridge Replacement Over Captain Frank Road	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2027
Total Estimated	\$2.49	34,812	Total Cost	\$2,48	4 812
Project Cost:	• /	33,038	Programmed in TIP	\$3,58	
roject Cost.			to date:	φ5,50	5,050
Description:			ver Captain Frank Road.		
Justification:	This is a bridge replace project.	ment on I-64 eastboun	d over Captain Frank Roa	d to accommodate the l	-64 added travel lan
FY 23-26 TIP	FY 2025 Construction \$2,236,331 (Federal) +	•			
Funding:	FY 2025 Construction \$3,224,734 (Federal) +	(CN) phase with NHP	P funds:		
		(CN) phase with NHP	P funds:		
Funding:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$3	P funds:		
MTP Action:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$3	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) otal estimated project cost	No change t	o the model
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$ late TIP funding and to	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total)	No change t	o the model
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$ late TIP funding and to smpt	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) otal estimated project cost	No change t State ID:	o the model 2200015
MTP Action:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$3 late TIP funding and to mpt Exempt	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) otal estimated project cost Model Impact:		
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E INDOT	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$3 late TIP funding and to sompt Exempt KIPDA ID:	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) tal estimated project cost Model Impact: 3149	State ID:	2200015
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E INDOT Floyd I-64 Westbound Lane Over Quarry Road Bridge Replacement	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$2 late TIP funding and to sompt Exempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) tal estimated project cost Model Impact: 3149 N/A NHPP Total Cost	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public	2200015 2676 2027
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E INDOT INDOT I-64 Westbound Lane Over Quarry Road Bridge Replacement \$2,53	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$ late TIP funding and to mpt Exempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source:	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) tal estimated project cost Model Impact: 3149 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date:	2200015 2676 2027 7,826
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E INDOT Floyd I-64 Westbound Lane Over Quarry Road Bridge Replacement \$2,53 \$3,76	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$ late TIP funding and to mpt Exempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 87,826 57,102	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) otal estimated project cost Model Impact: 3149 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$2,53 \$3,76	2200015 2676 2027 7,826
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E INDOT Floyd I-64 Westbound Lane Over Quarry Road Bridge Replacement \$2,53 \$3,76 Bridge replacement of	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$: late TIP funding and to mpt Exempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 87,826 57,102 the I-64 westbound lar	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) otal estimated project cost Model Impact: 3149 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: he bridge over Quarry Roa	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$2,53 \$3,76 d.	2200015 2676 2027 7,826
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E INDOT Floyd I-64 Westbound Lane Over Quarry Road Bridge Replacement \$2,53 \$3,76 Bridge replacement of to This is a bridge replace	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$: late TIP funding and to mpt Exempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 87,826 57,102 the I-64 westbound lar ment project to accom	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) otal estimated project cost Model Impact: 3149 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: he bridge over Quarry Roa	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$2,53 \$3,76 d.	2200015 2676 2027 7,826
Funding: MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$3,224,734 (Federal) + Add to MTP Remove Group ID, upd Exe Non-E INDOT Floyd I-64 Westbound Lane Over Quarry Road Bridge Replacement \$2,53 \$3,76 Bridge replacement of	(CN) phase with NHP \$358,304 (Other) = \$: late TIP funding and to mpt Exempt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 37,826 57,102 the I-64 westbound lar ment project to accom	P funds: 3,583,038 (Total) otal estimated project cost Model Impact: 3149 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: he bridge over Quarry Roa hmodate the added travel of the second s	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$2,53 \$3,76 d.	2200015 2676 2027 7,826

	Update Estimated Total Project Cost and OTP							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and OTP							
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Ex	empt	Model Impact:	No change t	to the model			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2913	State ID:	2000233			
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A			
Project Name:	US 150	Funding Source:	STBG-State & NHS	Open to Public Date:	2025 2030			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	. ,	46,241 76,501	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	• •	2,654 1,501			
Description:	Intersection improvem	ent with new signals o	n US 150 at Everett Avenu	ue, Stiller Road, and Buc	k Creek Road.			
Justification:	and around the Galena	sville-Galena Road and C ea extended west to Sn						
			odeling purposes. All relev d will guide the ongoing p	ant background data is i	included. The report			

	Update Estimated Total Project Cost and OTP						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and OTP						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exempt		Model Impact:	No change to the model			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT KIP	KIPDA ID:	2965	State ID:	2100047		
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	US 150 Intersection Improvement of Old Vincennes Road/Lawrence Banet Road	Funding Source:	STBG-State	Open to Public Date:	2027 2031		
Total Estimated Project Cost:		16,218 14,336	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$5,31 \$1,42			
Description:	Intersection improvem	ent at the intersection	of Old Vincennes Road/La	awrence Banet Road.			
		Flauda Kasaka Flaud					
Justification:	County, IN. This locati	on has experienced hig nfigure US 150 and Law	her than anticipated crash vrence Banet Road as a me		recommended		
Justification:	County, IN. This location alternative would recommended at the second se	on has experienced hig nfigure US 150 and Law installing U-turn access STBG-State funds: 184,844 (Other) \$924, STBG-State funds:	her than anticipated crash vrence Banet Road as a mo s points on US 150. 218 (Total)	frequency and cost. The	recommended		
Justification: FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	County, IN. This location alternative would recommend at the intersection and FY 2023 PE phase with \$739,374 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 PE phase with	on has experienced hig nfigure US 150 and Law installing U-turn access STBG-State funds: 184,844 (Other) \$924, STBG-State funds: 100,000 (Other) \$500, with STBG State funds:	her than anticipated crash vrence Banet Road as a mo s points on US 150. 218 (Total) 000 (Total)	frequency and cost. The	recommended		
FY 23-26 TIP	County, IN. This location alternative would recommend at the intersection and FY 2023 PE phase with \$739,374 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 PE phase with \$400,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2024 ROW phase with	on has experienced hig nfigure US 150 and Law installing U-turn access STBG-State funds: 184,844 (Other) \$924, STBG-State funds: 100,000 (Other) \$500, with STBG-State funds: 100,000 (Other) \$500, with STBG-State funds 0,000 (Other) \$100,000	her than anticipated crash vrence Banet Road as a mo s points on US 150. 218 (Total) 000 (Total) 000 (Total) 5: 0 (Total)	frequency and cost. The	recommended		

MTP Action:	None							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and	Update TIP funding and OTP						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	Exempt		No change to the model				
Project Sponsor: County:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2974	State ID:	2100244			
	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676			
Project Name:	SR 111 Slide Correction	Funding Source:	STBG-State	Open to Public Date:	2026 2027			
Total Estimated Project Cost:	. ,	7 5,184 22,997	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$1,57 \$6,12	,			
Description:	Slide correction on SR	111 0.7 miles south of	the I-64 overpass.					
Justification:			e the barrier wall, replace est of the pavement in the		d pavement that has			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 PE phase with \$967,666 (Federal) + \$ FY 2024 PE phase with \$160,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2024 ROW phase w \$450,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2025 ROW phase w \$450,000 (Federal) + \$ FY 2026 Utilities phase \$16,000 (Federal) + \$4, *FY 2027 Construction \$3,462,250 (Federal) +	107,518 (Other) \$1,07 STBG-State funds: 40,000 (Other) \$200,0 with STBG-State funds: 50,000 (Other) \$500,0 with STBG-State funds: 50,000 (Other) \$500,0 with STBG-State funds 000 (Other) \$20,000 h (CN) phase with STB \$865,563 (Other) \$4,	000 (Total) 0 00 (Total) 000 (Total) s: (Total) G-State funds: 327,813 (Total)					
*Funds programmed ir	n fiscal years outside of th	ne current 2023-2026	TIP years					
· -	•							

MTP Action:	None						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	Exempt		No change to the model			
Project Sponsor: County:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2968	State ID:	2100560		
	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	I-265 Bridge Deck Overlay on Klerner Lane Bridge, 0.40 Miles East of SR 111	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2026		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,17 \$3,55	8,600 1,833	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$ 1,83 \$3,09	,		
Description:	I-265 bridge deck overla	ay on Klerner Lane bri	dge over I-265 EB/WB, 0.	40 miles east of SR 111.			
Justification:		•	deficiencies in the wearing service life of the bridge.	g surface, deck, and supe	structure to help		
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2023 PE phase with \$110,520 (Federal) + \$1 FY 2024 ROW phase w \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,00 FY 2026 Utilities phase \$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,00 FY 2026 Utilities phase \$99,000 (Federal) + \$11 FY 2026 Construction (\$1,524,600 (Federal) + \$1 FY 2026 Construction (\$2,658,330 (Federal) + \$	2,280 (Other) \$122,8 ith NHPP funds: 00 (Other) \$10,000 (7 with NHPP funds: 00 (Other) \$10,000 (7 with NHPP funds: ,000 (Other) \$110,00 phase with NHPP funds \$169,400 (Other) \$1, (CN) phase with NHP	Total) Total) DO (Total) I s: 694,000 (Total) P funds:				

MTP Action:	None				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding and	l phases			
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change to	o the model
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3054	State ID:	2200052
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2680
Project Name:	SR 60 at St. Joe Road West Intersection Improvement	Funding Source:	NHS	Open to Public Date:	2028
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$2,421,116		Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$1,030,100 \$2,421,116	
Description:	Intersection improvem	ent with added turn lan	ies at SR 60 and St. Joe Ro	oad West.	
Justification:	This project will impro-	ve safety concerns at th	ne intersection of SR 60 a	nd St. Joe Road West.	
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2024 PE phase with \$873,090 (Federal) + \$9 FY 2025 ROW phase w \$54,000 (Federal) + \$6, *FY 2027 Utilities phase \$45,000 (Federal) + \$5,	97,010 (Other) = \$970, vith NHS funds: 000 (Other) = \$60,000 e with NHS funds: 000 (Other) = \$50,000	(Total) (Total)		
Funds programmed ir	*FY 2027 Construction \$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1	,341,016 (Total)		
	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1	,341,016 (Total)		
MTP Action:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 ne current 2023-2026 T	,341,016 (Total)		
MTP Action: TIP Action:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 ne current 2023-2026 T	,341,016 (Total)		
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None Update TIP funding and	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 ne current 2023-2026 T	,341,016 (Total)	No change to	o the model
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None Update TIP funding and	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 ne current 2023-2026 T I phases	,341,016 (Total) TIP years	No change to State ID:	o the model 2200719
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None Update TIP funding and Exe	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 he current 2023-2026 T I phases	,341,016 (Total) TP years Model Impact:	-	
MTP Action:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None Update TIP funding and Exe INDOT	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 ne current 2023-2026 T I phases mpt KIPDA ID:	,341,016 (Total) TP years Model Impact: 3050	State ID:	2200719
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None Update TIP funding and Exe INDOT Floyd I-64 Bridge Deck Overlay \$2,37	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 ne current 2023-2026 T I phases mpt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 5,000 2,840	,341,016 (Total) TIP years Model Impact: 3050 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$225 \$2,372	2200719 2676 2027
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + In fiscal years outside of the None Update TIP funding and Exe INDOT Floyd I-64 Bridge Deck Overlay \$22: \$2,37 Bridge deck overlay on	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 ne current 2023-2026 T I phases mpt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 5,000 '2,840 I-64 over SR 62X/Sprin	,341,016 (Total) IP years Model Impact: 3050 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ng Street, 0.18 west of SR	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$225 \$2,372 111.	2200719 2676 2027
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None Update TIP funding and Exe INDOT Floyd I-64 Bridge Deck Overlay \$2,37 Bridge deck overlay on This project will impro FY 2023 Preliminary En \$202,500 (Federal) + \$2 FY 2024 Preliminary En \$270,00 (Federal) + \$30 *FY 2027 Utilities phase \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2 *FY 2027 Construction	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 the current 2023-2026 T I phases I phases Impt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 5,000 '2,840 1-64 over SR 62X/Sprint ve the conditions of the gineering phase with NA 22,500 (Other) = \$225, gineering phase with NA 20,000 (Other) = \$300,0 e with NHPP funds: 000 (Other) = \$20,000 (CN) phase with NHPF	,341,016 (Total) IP years Model Impact: 3050 N/A NHPP Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: ng Street, 0.18 west of SR e bridge and extend its se HPP funds: 000 (Total) IHPP funds: 00 (Total) P funds:	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$225 \$2,372 111.	2200719 2676 2027
MTP Action: TIP Action: Exempt/Non Exempt: Project Sponsor: County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost: Description: Justification: FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$1,206,914 (Federal) + n fiscal years outside of th None Update TIP funding and Exe INDOT Floyd I-64 Bridge Deck Overlay \$2,37 Bridge deck overlay on This project will impro FY 2023 Preliminary En \$202,500 (Federal) + \$2 FY 2024 Preliminary En \$270,00 (Federal) + \$30 *FY 2027 Utilities phase \$18,000 (Federal) + \$2,	\$134,102 (Other) = \$1 he current 2023-2026 T l phases mpt KIPDA ID: Parent ID: Funding Source: 5,000 (2,840 1-64 over SR 62X/Sprin ve the conditions of the gineering phase with N 22,500 (Other) = \$225, gineering phase with N 22,500 (Other) = \$225, gineering phase with N 2,000 (Other) = \$20,000 he with NHPP funds: 000 (Other) = \$20,000 h (CN) phase with NHF \$205,284 (Other) = \$2	Additional and the second state of the second	State ID: Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$225 \$2,372 111.	2200719 2676 2027

MTP Action:	None						
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding a	and phases					
Exempt/Non Exempt:	E	Exempt		No change to the model			
Project Sponsor: County:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	2487	State ID:	1700111		
	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676		
Project Name:	US 31	Funding Source:	STBG-State	Open to Public Date:	2025		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	,	1,536,750 4,244,633	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$10,11 \$14,24	,		
Description:	Pavement replaceme	ent on US 31, 1.53 miles r	north of IN 60 (Foothill Re	oad) to 3.28 miles north	of IN 60.		
Justification:	Pavement replaceme	ent.					
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	\$640,000 (Federal) + FY 2024 Railroad ph \$56,420 (Federal) + FY 2024 Utilities pha \$180,000 (Federal) + FY 2024 Construction \$7,067,515 (Federal) FY 2025 Construction	e with STBG-State funds \$160,000 (Other) = \$80 ase with STBG-State fund \$14,108 (Other) = \$70,52 ase with STBG-State fund \$20,000 (Other) = \$200 on phase with STBG State + \$1,766,879 (Other) = \$ on (CN) phase with STBC I) + \$2,538,821 (Other) = \$	0,000 (Total) ds: 28 (Total) s: ,000 (Total) 2 funds: \$8,834,394 (Total) G-State funds:				

MTP Action:	Add new project						
TIP Action:	Add new project	Add new project					
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exempt		Model Impact:	No change to the model			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:	2301706		
County:	Clark	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A		
Project Name:	US 31	Funding Source:	TA-State	Open to Public Date:	2025		
Total Estimated Project Cost:	\$1,100,000 Total Cost Programmed in TIP \$1,100,000 to date:			0,000			
Description:	Sidewalk improvements is going to be bundled v		north of IN 60 (Foothill R DA ID 2487.	load) to 3.28 miles north	n of IN 60. This projec		
Justification:	Reconstruction of the e	existing roadway, impr	oving the safety of pedesti	rian and bicycle facilities.			
FY 23-26 TIP Funding:	FY 2025 Construction (\$880,000 (Federal) + \$2						
MTP Action:	Add new project						
TIP Action:	Add new project						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	mpt	Model Impact:	No change t	a tha madal		
				State ID: 2200937			
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	NEW	State ID:			
Project Sponsor: County:	INDOT Clark	KIPDA ID: Parent ID:	NEW N/A	State ID: Group ID:			
County:		Parent ID:			2200937		
	Clark Traffic Signals Modernization in Clark County	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID: Open to Public	2200937 N/A 2027		
County: Project Name: Total Estimated	Clark Traffic Signals Modernization in Clark County \$2,55	Parent ID: Funding Source: 6,550	N/A HSIP-State Total Cost Programmed in TIP	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$416	2200937 N/A 2027 ,250		
County: Project Name: Total Estimated Project Cost:	Clark Traffic Signals Modernization in Clark County \$2,55 Updating traffic signal h	Parent ID: Funding Source: 6,550 eads through Clark Co	N/A HSIP-State Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date: Dunty on US 31 at Lewis a	Group ID: Open to Public Date: \$416	2200937 N/A 2027 ,250		

	Update total estimated project cost							
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding	Update TIP funding						
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	Exempt		No change to the model				
Project Sponsor: County:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3192	State ID:	2200833			
	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	N/A			
Project Name:	I-64 Hot-Mix Asphalt (HMA) Overlay	Funding Source:	NHPP	Open to Public Date:	2027			
Total Estimated Project Cost:			Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	• •	,			
Description:	Hot-mix asphalt (HMA)) overlay minor structu	ıral on I-64 from 0.50 mile	es west of SR 135 to 1.01	miles west of SR 6			
Justification:	This project will improv	ve the conditions of th	e pavement and extend its	s service life.				
	(HMA) Overlay Date: \$59,474,000 Total Cost \$65,516,941 Programmed in TIP \$65,516,941 \$65,516,941							

MTP Action:	None				
TIP Action:	Update TIP funding				
Exempt/Non Exempt:	Exe	Exempt		No change to the model	
Project Sponsor:	INDOT	KIPDA ID:	3049	State ID:	2200718
County:	Floyd	Parent ID:	N/A	Group ID:	2676
Project Name:	I-64 Bridge Deck Overlay	Funding Source:	NHS	Open to Public Date:	2027
Total Estimated Project Cost:	•	5,000 0,748	Total Cost Programmed in TIP to date:	\$ 175 \$700	·
Description:	Bridge deck overlay on	I-64 over the I-64 Rar	np 123D to I-64 westbour	nd, 0.28 miles west of SR	111.
Justification:	This project will impro	ve the condition of the	e bridge and extend its ser	vice life.	
	FY 2023 Preliminary Er \$157,500 (Federal) + \$	• •			
FY 23-26 TIP	*FY 2027 Utilities phas	e with NHS funds:			
Funding:	\$9,000 (Federal) + \$1,0	000 (Other) = \$10,000	(Total)		
	*FY 2027 Construction	n (CN) phase with NH	S funds:		
	\$464,173 (Federal) + \$	51.575 (Other) = \$515	.748 (Total)		

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY

At this time, the Louisville, KY-IN transportation planning study area consists of Clark and Floyd counties, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and approximately 4 square miles of Shelby County in Kentucky. Much of the existing planning area coincides with the local ozone nonattainment area. In the past, a portion of the planning study area also coincided with a local fine particulate matter (PM 2.5) nonattainment area, but that standard was revoked in April, 2015. The Louisville, KY-IN maintenance area for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard consisted of Clark and Floyd counties, IN, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties, KY. It was designated as a basic non-attainment area in June, 2004 and redesignated as an attainment area with a maintenance status in July, 2007. The 1997 8-hour ozone standard was revoked for the local area in April, 2015, and at that time, it was not necessary for the local area to determine conformity. (However, the local area was still eligible to receive Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality funding).

In June 2018, the former Louisville, KY-IN 1997 ozone maintenance area was designated as a marginal nonattainment area for the 2015 8-hour ozone standard. Since that time, the monitoring data has indicated that the design value is sufficiently low that the local area can be redesignated as attainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, and the air quality agencies with responsibility for the local area have undertaken steps to do so. The redesignation State Implementation Plan has been submitted to Regions 4 and 5 of US EPA, and the Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets (MVEBs) have been found adequate by Region 5. They are still under review by Region 4. Meanwhile, in January 2023, the Kentucky portion of the local ozone nonattainment area was "bumped up" to a moderate ozone nonattainment area. Subsequently, EPA has proposed redesignation of the area to attainment based on recent air quality data incorporated into the prior SIP submittal.

KIPDA is amending Connecting Kentuckiana 2050, the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP), and the FY 2023 – FY 2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This conformity analysis will support conformity determinations by the metropolitan planning organization and the U. S. Department of Transportation agencies for both documents. This analysis is intended to support determinations of conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards.

CONFORMITY UNDER THE 2015 8-HOUR OZONE STANDARD

When an area such as the Louisville area becomes nonattainment, the area must undertake a process known as conformity. This process provides a linkage between transportation planning and air quality planning. One of the key activities of conformity is to quantify the level of emissions of the air pollutant(s) and/or precursor(s) for certain analysis years and compare those levels to the motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs)—if they exist. The MVEBs limit the amount of a pollutant or precursor that can be emitted. If MVEBs do not exist, the area must rely on interim tests, such as comparing the emissions to the level of emissions in a baseyear, to determine conformity. The baseyear would be set by US EPA when the standard is promulgated.

When the local area was designated as nonattainment of the 2015 8-hour ozone standard, the air quality agencies with responsibility for the local area were charged with the additional responsibility to develop a set of actions that could be taken to reduce pollutant/precursor emissions. These actions were to be included in air quality plans known as State Implementation Plans (SIPs). Since the Louisville nonattainment area is a bi-state area, these sets of actions to reduce precursor emissions were to be incorporated into both the Indiana and Kentucky SIPs. It was during this process that MVEBs were established. Subsequent to the local area being designated as a nonattainment area but before the SIPs were completed, the data from the air quality monitors in the area indicated that the 2015 8-hour ozone standard had been met. With this data in hand, the air quality agencies were each able to submit a SIP known as a redesignation request. The establishment of the MVEBs was one of the components of the redesignation request. Since the SIPs were redesignation requests for ozone, the MVEBs were established for the precursors of ozone -- volatile organic compounds and oxides of Nitrogen.

CONSULTATION FOR CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2050

The first step in determining conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* was to consult with the interagency consultation (IAC) group concerning matters not explicitly determined by the conformity rule. Conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standard has been previously determined. Therefore, many of the issues normally arising in conformity had undergone consultation previously when the local area was a nonattainment or maintenance area under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard or during the previous conformity process for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*.

Consultation for this amendment occurred during a video conference on January 19, 2024. A total of 23 participants, representing nine federal, state, regional, and local agencies participated in the IAC Conference Call. The list of participants included:

Participants:

 EPA – Dianna Myers, Tony Maietta, Weston Freund, Richard Wong, Will Carnright, & Simone Jarvis
 FTA - Cecilia Crenshaw
 FHWA – Nick Vail

KYTC – Tom Hall & Isidro Delgado Herrera

LMAPCD – Craig Butler & Michelle King

KYDAQ- Lauren Hedge & Anna Bowman

INDOT – Jay Mitchell

TARC – Aida Copic

KIPDA – Andy Rush, Randy Simon, Jeremeih Shaw, Chris Nicolas, Brady Hill, Spencer Williams, & Kyle Thorne

Welcome/Roll Call:

A total of 23 participants, representing nine local, state, regional, and federal agencies participated in the IAC Conference Call for Amendment 5 of KIPDA's *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and the *FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program* (TIP). The meeting began shortly after 10:00 AM EDT on January 19, 2024. Ms. Chris Nicolas conducted roll call and introduced KIPDA staff in attendance.

Project Discussion:

KIPDA staff began leading a discussion of the 30 projects included in Amendment 5 seeking any comments and feedback from the group after briefly discussing the schedule of Amendment 5. Ms. Nicolas described the proposed changes to the first fifteen projects. No comments, questions, or concerns were raised about these projects.

Ms. Nicolas asks for confirmation on whether KIPDA ID 2943 required an update to the model. Mr. Rush confirmed that the status as displayed in the Amendment 5 packet was correct. Mr. Maietta asks if the INDOT bridge replacement projects listed in Amendment 5 are planning to add any travel lanes with these projects. Mr. Rush states that these projects may need to be considered non-exempt with further clarification needed from the project sponsor. Mr. Maietta and Mr. Rush agree that the safest and best way to handle the issue would be to consider these bridge replacements projects as non-exempt. There are four INDOT bridge replacement projects that will have their status changed from exempt to nonexempt.

Mr. Rush states that some of the projects in the amendment are included because the cost increase for the project was deemed to be significant enough to warrant public review. Ms. Nicolas continues to describe the proposed changes to the remaining fourteen projects with no other project questions or concerns from the group.

Schedule Discussion:

KIPDA staff briefly mentioned key dates (shown below) of the anticipated schedule for the amendment at the beginning of the meeting:

Project applications due from sponsors	December 15, 2023
KIPDA Staff Reviews projects	January 3, 2024
Air Quality Conformity Activities	January 4, 2024 – January
	23, 2024
Interagency Consultation Group (IAC)	January 19, 2024
Coordination	
Public Comment Period	January 24, 2024 – February
	7, 2024
Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee	February 14, 2024
(TTCC) Recommendation	
Comments sent to the Transportation Policy	February 15, 2024
Committee (TPC)	
TPC Action	February 22, 2024

Key Dates for the schedule for Amendment 5

Other Discussion:

Ms. Myers makes a note to Ms. Nicolas to update the year date on the Amendment cover page from 2023 to 2024. Ms. Nicolas responds to a question from Mr. Vail by sharing the current KIPDA policy regarding what constitutes a significant project cost increase and how KIPDA is currently reviewing how that policy could be made clearer in the future. Ms. Meyers asks about the status of the air quality conformity activities. Ms. King states that the air quality conformity activities are not fully completed, but when they are completed, then the files will be sent out to the IAC group. No other questions were proposed by the group. Meeting adjourned at 10:30am.

Addendum: Information related to Analysis Years, AQ Conformity Tests for Amendment 5, Horizon Year for Connecting Kentuckiana, and TCMs in SIP

The following information was shared prior to the meeting, but not explicitly displayed during the meeting:

Analysis Years and AQ Conformity Tests – see table below

2015 8-hour Ozone Standard		
Analysis Year	Conformity Test(s)	
2025	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions	
2030	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions	
2035	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the	
	2015 8-hour standard	
2040	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the	
	2015 8-hour standard	
2050	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the	
	2015 8-hour standard	

Pollutants/Precursors of concern and related budgets

SIP base year (2019 Base Year) emissions

- i. VOCs: 13.65 tons/day or 12,383 kg/day
- ii. NOx: 33.03 tons/day or 29,964 kg/day

SIP regional budget (2035 MVEB) emissions

- iii. VOCs: 5.51 tons/day or 4,999 kg/day
- iv. NOx: 17.18 tons/day or 15,585 kg/day

Horizon year of the *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan – 2050

A listing of any transportation control measures (TCMs) in SIPs, if applicable—there are none.

ESTABLISHED PRACTICE

In addition to the issues discussed during consultation, there were several issues which were not explicitly discussed or received little discussion during the video conference consultation, but which had impacts on the analysis. Many of these issues had been discussed during previous consultations. These issues were handled in a manner consistent with the previous established practice. The more prominent issues are discussed below.

Relationship of MTP and TIP for Conformity Purposes

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is maintained as a subset of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Therefore, the conformity determination for the MTP will serve as the conformity determination for the TIP.

Conclusion: The IAC members are informed of this from time to time in order to clarify the conformity determination for the MTP also serves as the conformity determination for the TIP.

Vehicle Registration (Fleet Mix) Data

At various times in the past, new vehicle registration data has been provided for use in developing pollutant emissions. This vehicle registration data has been reviewed and accepted by the IAC. The data being used for the Indiana counties has been updated to 2022, and the data being used for the Kentucky counties is for 2018. These data represent the most recent information available for this issue.

Conclusion: Based on a consensus of the IAC members, vehicle registration data for 2022 for the Indiana counties and for 2018 for the Kentucky counties is now being used in developing emission estimates.

CONFORMITY OF CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2050

The MTP, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*, was examined to determine if it met the requirements of the conformity rule under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In general, the process leading to a conformity determination has two major components:

- (1) a regional emissions (air quality) analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets set in the SIPs, if applicable, or the emission levels for a given base year; and
- (2) a monitoring of the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs.

In the past, consultation with the state and local air quality agencies and EPA had determined that there are no approved TCMs in the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky. Therefore, it is possible to show conformity of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* simply by determining that the air pollutant emissions do not exceed the budgets in the SIPs or the base year emissions.

ANALYSIS PROCESS

The process of calculating the regional emissions for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* involved three main procedures. The first procedure was a review of the projects to determine which projects needed to be included in the regional emissions analysis. The second procedure was to perform the calculations necessary to quantify certain measures of travel behavior. The third procedure was to calculate the pollutant / precursor emissions. These activities are discussed below in greater detail.

Project Review

The first procedure was to review the projects to determine which projects were exempt or non-exempt and which projects were "regionally significant." The combination of these two considerations was the basis for determining which projects were recommended for inclusion in the regional emissions analysis. During Amendment 4 of the MTP, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050*, a group of projects had been proposed for the amendment of the plan. These projects were reviewed by KIPDA staff, who prepared a list of the projects with information about the projects and a staff recommendation concerning the project's status relative to its being included in the regional emissions analysis. There is usually a straightforward explanation for why projects are included in or excluded from the analysis and why they are analyzed as they are. Most of the projects which were excluded were exempt projects as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations in 40 CFR 93.126 and 40 CFR 93.127.

During consultation, this list was reviewed and accepted by the IAC as described under the section entitled "CONSULTATION FOR *CONNECTING KENTUCKIANA 2050*." (Please see above.) The projects in *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* were analyzed as indicated on the list provided to IAC.

In the past, there were several projects which could not be analyzed using the travel model but were not explicitly exempt. Most of these projects had been evaluated using spreadsheet methods using emission factors (rates). Since the MOVES emissions model was being used in the inventory mode, emission factors were not available for this analysis. However, experience had shown that the emission impacts for these projects were always small and positive (i.e., emission reducing). Therefore, it is reasonable to predict that the emission impacts of these projects—if they could be quantified—would decrease the emissions shown in the tables at the end of this document.

In the past, there was one particular project affecting Bullitt County that could not be included in the travel model but had the potential to increase emissions. Therefore, a special effort had previously been made to include its impacts in the analysis of travel behavior impacts and, consequently, in the regional emissions analysis. This project was the relocated (southern) section of US 31E. This project, which had been discussed during consultation in the past, involved the relocation of a small (approximately 0.2 mile) section of US 31E from Nelson County (outside of the nonattainment area) to Bullitt County (inside the ozone nonattainment area) during the reconstruction of that road. Estimates of the VMT for this project had been developed using a spreadsheet approach. The VMT estimates were calculated (offmodel) as the product of the estimated traffic volumes for each of the analysis years and the length of the relocated section in Bullitt County and had been added to the other Bullitt County VMT estimates of the same functional class. This effort was no

longer necessary for Amendment 4. Additional roadway sections including the relocated section of US 31E have recently been added to the travel model. Therefore, the estimated VMT for that section is now calculated (along with the VMT from other projects) in the post-processing process of the travel model data and added to the Bullitt County VMT resulting from that process.

Calculation of Travel-Related Information

The analysis of the travel behavior impacts for the nonattainment area primarily involved using the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model to determine measures of travel such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) and speed. The method for determining these measures was to input the appropriate roadway and transit information into the model and to run the model using the appropriate socioeconomic information for a given analysis year. This analysis is explained below in further detail in the sections concerning the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model and adjustment factors for travel model output.

KIPDA Travel Demand Forecasting Model

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model is a mathematical model which relates travel to the transportation system and basic socioeconomic information. The domain of the model is a study area which includes the Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area. The Louisville (KY-IN) Metropolitan Planning Area presently consists of Clark and Floyd counties, and 0.1 square miles in Harrison County in Indiana, and Bullitt, Jefferson, and Oldham counties and approximately 4 square miles in Shelby County in Kentucky. This area is divided into 984 smaller units called traffic analysis zones.

As previously mentioned, the KIPDA regional travel demand forecasting model was updated and calibrated in 2022. This update established 2019 as the new base year for the model. The model update utilized the information incorporated into the travel model during previous updates. In addition, a significant amount of data from Streetlight Data, Inc. was incorporated into the updated model, particularly for trips which crossed the external boundary of the model. During the update, the model parameters were adjusted such that the model output matched—within reason—two main calibration criteria based on measured data. These criteria were: (1) the total daily VMT for all highway facilities except local roads for the region; and (2) highway traffic volumes crossing the Ohio River screenline. The result of the update was a travel model which generally replicated travel in the Louisville area for 2019. The updated travel model was used in the regional emissions analysis.

The KIPDA travel demand forecasting model uses the standard four steps of modeling: trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice, and trip assignment. In addition, it considers travel by vehicles entering, leaving, and crossing the study area.

These types of trips are known as external-internal, internal-external, and externalexternal, respectively. The internal ends of these trips are determined by the methods described below for internal-internal travel. The external ends are determined from the volume of traffic crossing the study area boundary at any of the 46 external stations.

Trip generation is the process of determining the number of unlinked trip ends--called productions and attractions--and their spatial distribution based on socioeconomic variables such as households and employment. The trip rates used to define these relationships were derived from the travel data collection efforts described above. This information was supplemented by use of the *National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report #365* and the Institute of Transportation Engineers' *Trip Generation Report*. The KIPDA travel demand model uses three internal-internal trip purposes. Internal-internal trips are those which have both ends inside the modeling domain. The three purposes are home-based work, home-based other, and non-home-based. The set of trip rates is one of the calibration parameters of the model.

Trip distribution is the process of linking the trip ends thereby creating trips which traverse the area. The KIPDA travel model uses a gravity model to link all trips except the external-external ones. The gravity model is based on the principle that productions are linked to attractions as a direct function of the number of attractions of a zone and as an inverse function of the travel time between zones. This inverse function of travel time is used to generate parameters called friction factors which, in turn, direct the gravity model. In addition, information from a study which investigated the behavior of travelers crossing the Ohio River and traffic count information from years near 2019 were utilized to develop additional parameters called K-factors. The K-factors are used by the model to ensure that it is predicting the correct volume of traffic crossing the Ohio River. Friction factors and K-factors are two of the calibration parameters of the model.

Mode choice is the process used to separate the trips which use transit from those which use automobiles. It is also used to separate the auto drive-alone trips from auto shared-ride trips. In some previous KIPDA travel demand models, mode choice was based primarily on information provided by the *TARC Travel Forecasting Study* from some time ago. In that model, the user's benefit or utility was calculated for each mode based on zonal socioeconomic characteristics and the cost and time of the trip using the various modes. A nested logit model was used to determine the probability of the trip being made by each of the modes. This probability was then multiplied by the number of trips between zones to determine the number of trips by each mode.

As previously stated, the conformity analysis for *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* utilizes transit information from previous travel demand models. The results of the 2004 TARC on-board survey had been used to factor the data in the previous transit files.

This was deemed acceptable for several reasons. The primary reason was that the transit network envisioned by *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* is essentially the same as the existing one. In addition, the number of total trips from the two models was similar. Therefore, the use of the factored transit trip information from previous travel models did not significantly change the proportion of trips allocated to transit. Finally, the proportion of trips utilizing transit is less than 2% of the total trips. So small differences in the number of transit trips should provide a negligible effect on overall travel.

Trip assignment is the process used to determine which links of the network a given trip will use. There are several assignment schemes which may be used. Two of the more common schemes are All-or-Nothing (AON)--in which all trips between two zones follow the shortest time path--and Stochastic--in which trips between two zones may be assigned to several paths based on their relative impedances or travel times. It is not uncommon for travel models to use several assignment schemes in sequence to converge to a better assignment. A sequence commonly used involves using several AONs with the traffic volumes reported at the end of each scheme being a weighted average of the volumes from the most recent scheme and the volumes from the previous schemes. A capacity restraint provision is used to adjust travel times between assignment schemes. This sequence is called an equilibrium assignment. The KIPDA travel model uses an equilibrium assignment which converges when the change in system-wide travel time over successive iterations is estimated to be within 0.0001 or less.

Tolls are being used as a means of providing for a portion of the cost of the Louisville Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges project. To reflect the effect of the tolls in the KIPDA travel model, time penalties have been used in the model on the bridges where tolls are being collected. As mentioned above, the toll structure was recently changed. To reflect this in the travel model update, the time penalties used in the KIPDA travel model were likewise changed to reflect the effect of the new toll structure. The time penalties also reflect some travel effects which could not otherwise be quantified.

The output from the KIPDA travel model is in the form of a series of links with each link having certain associated data such as number of lanes, capacity, facility type, area type, functional class, and volume. This data allows for the calculation of other link information such as vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT). The VMT can be calculated as the product of the volume of traffic using a link times the distance (length) of the link.

Adjustment Factors for Travel Model Output

The VMT and speeds from the travel demand model were adjusted before being used in the calculation of regional emissions. The purpose of these adjustments was to reconcile the model output with travel estimates from other sources, such as the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) estimates of VMT. To perform this adjustment, factors were developed for the baseyear of the model using HPMS or other estimates and applied to model output for other years.

The development of the VMT adjustment factors involved comparing the VMT outputs of the travel demand model to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2019. Factors were developed to adjust the model output to account for variation between the model and HPMS within each of the counties. To do this, the VMT from the 2019 model run was tabulated by county and functional classification. The VMT estimates derived from the model were then compared to the HPMS VMT estimates for 2019 to develop adjustment factors to be applied to the model output for subsequent years. The 8-hour ozone analysis is based on a level of traffic and the accompanying emissions expected on a typical summer weekday. For that analysis, the adjustment factors were increased by 2.9% to reflect the higher volume of traffic that can be expected on a typical summer weekday relative to the annual average daily traffic. The adjustment factors for VMT were developed on a functional classification basis for each county.

The development of the speed adjustment factors involved a similar process. The outputs of the travel demand model were compared to estimates of speed based on the equations of the Highway Economic Reporting System (HERS).

In general, the HERS equations were used to estimate speeds for five functional classifications of urban roadways and for five functional classifications of rural roadways. The speeds from these roadway sections were used to determine the average speed for each of five rural and urban functional classes. The speeds used in the travel model were also averaged for each of the five rural and urban functional classes for which HERS estimates had been developed. The speed adjustment factor for each of these functional classes was calculated as the ratio of the average speed using the HERS equations to the average speed using the travel model data. In some cases, the adjustment factors for some functional classes for some counties had to be based on the combined effects of the functional classes due to the sparseness of data for one or more of the functional classes.

The procedures described above produced speed adjustment factors for all functional classes except rural and urban local roads and ramps. (Ramps are not officially a separate functional class, but the speed behavior of traffic on ramps is not expected to be like that of any other functional class. Therefore, the ramps were treated as a separate "functional class".) There was not sufficient data to estimate speeds for the roadways of these classes. For rural and urban local roads and ramps, the speeds in the travel model were used without adjustment (i.e., the speed adjustment factor for rural and urban local roads and for ramps = 1).

Calculation of Pollutant/Precursor Emissions

The calculation of the pollutant/precursor emissions for the nonattainment area involved using the adjusted output data from the KIPDA travel demand forecasting model as input to the MOVES model. KIPDA staff provided adjusted travel model output data to the staff of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD) in the form of vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) in three formats:₇ (1) VMT by speed bin by MOBILE 6 facility type (road type) for each county, (2) VMT fractions by speed bin by county by MOBILE 6 facility type (road type) for each county, and (3) VMT and average speed by functional class for each county. LMAPCD staff utilized this data along with other necessary inputs to run the MOVES model and develop emission estimates for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). They then provided these estimates to KIPDA staff. This analysis is explained below in further detail in the section below.

MOVES Emissions Model

As previously mentioned, the Louisville region is a nonattainment/maintenance area for the pollutant ozone and must therefore control the precursors of ozone, VOCs and NOx. The emission estimates for VOCs and NOx were determined using the MOVES 3.1 emissions model. The staff of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (LMAPCD) produced the emissions for all of the counties in the nonattainment/ maintenance area. The methodology used in calculating these emission estimates is discussed below.

There are a number of factors affecting the emission estimates developed from the MOVES model. In the past, these factors included the presence of inspection/ maintenance (I/M) programs in some of the counties. During that time period, the VMT generated in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties came from some vehicles subject to an I/M program and from some vehicles not subject to an I/M program. The I/M program in Clark and Floyd counties was discontinued at the end of 2006. The I/M program in Jefferson County (KY) was discontinued in 2003. Therefore, these programs are no longer a factor in estimating emissions.

One of the other factors is the fuel used by the vehicles in the various counties. The fuels which are used in Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson counties include reduced Reid vapor pressure gasoline (RVP) and reformulated gasoline (RFG). While RFG is used in some portions of Bullitt and Oldham counties, unregulated gasoline is used in the other portions of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. Vehicles from these other areas can be expected to travel in the Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties also. In the past, the emission factors (from the MOBILE 6 model) for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties used in the air quality analysis varied by county because they represent a VMT-weighted composite based on an estimate of travel in each county by vehicles from the various portions of the region. For this analysis, the MOVES model was used in what is known as the inventory

mode. Using the inventory mode, it is possible to define the fuel characteristics and the presence of an I/M program for each county, but it is not possible to represent the effect of travel in a county by vehicles from other counties. Therefore, the use of composite emission factors was not possible. Other than that, the assumptions used in the analysis were consistent with those of the appropriate air quality agency for each of the counties. For Clark and Floyd counties, the assumptions of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) were used. Some assumptions of LMAPCD were also used for Clark and Floyd counties. For Jefferson County (KY), the assumptions of the LMAPCD were used. These assumptions had been previously reviewed and accepted by the IAC partners.

The assumptions used in developing the emissions for Clark, Floyd, and Jefferson (KY) counties were the same as those used in developing the ozone budgets update (for VOCs and NOx) for the recent redesignation request in 2022. These assumptions included some changes which were incorporated in recent years prior to 2022. The changes which affected the VOC and NOx emissions included:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the incorporation of newer vehicle registration data (for 2022) for Clark and Floyd counties (provided by INDOT), and
- (3) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

The emissions for Bullitt and Oldham counties were also developed by LMAPCD. As with the other counties, the assumptions for these counties were consistent with those used in the redesignation request developed in 2022. Most of the inputs to the MOVES model were defaults and/or data used that was consistent with previous SIPs or data updated for the redesignation request. As mentioned above, RFG is used in some portions (the "original" portions) of Bullitt and Oldham counties, and unregulated gasoline is used in the other portions (the "new" portions) of those counties as well as the areas adjacent to the nonattainment area. The "original" portions and "new" portions refer to whether a portion of these counties had originally designated as a nonattainment/maintenance status for the 1-hour ozone standard (used in the 1990's) or had only been designated under the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. Neither portion of either county had an I/M program. So, it was not necessary to have I/M input information for MOVES. However, it was possible that the gasoline formulation in the different portions of these counties could be different.

It was determined—based on data provided by US EPA for the MOVES model—that the gasoline formulation for Bullitt and Oldham counties is essentially the same as that for Jefferson County with respect to the use of RFG. Since the use of the MOVES model in the inventory mode does not allow for the characteristics of different blends of gasoline within the same county, the gasoline formulations of Bullitt and Oldham counties were modeled the same as for Jefferson County.

The assumptions used for Bullitt and Oldham counties were consistent with those for the ozone budgets update for the recent redesignation request in 2022. The changes which affected the VOC and NOx emissions included:

- (1) improved consistency and completeness of gasoline data provided with the new MOVES model,
- (2) the characterization of gasolines described in the previous paragraph, and
- (3) improvements in internal model calculations to account for emission controls, driving profiles and engine characteristics.

LMAPCD developed emission estimates of VOCs and NOx using the MOVES model. To review, the following steps were undertaken.

- (1) LMAPCD staff received (from KIPDA staff) the adjusted travel model output in the forms of VMT and average speed, VMT by speed bin, and VMT fractions by speed bin, all by county and by MOBILE facility type by analysis year.
- (2) LMAPCD reformatted the data from KIPDA to prepare it as input to the MOVES model. Other necessary data was also prepared.
- (3) The MOVES model was run in inventory mode to determine emission estimates of each precursor for each county for each analysis year.
- (4) LMAPCD staff provided the emission estimates to KIPDA staff.

RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS

The transportation plan, *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050,* has been examined to determine if it is in conformity with the SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky and fulfills the criteria in the federal conformity rule (found in 40 CFR 93). The examination has been based on an air quality analysis to determine that air pollutant emissions of the appropriate areas did not exceed the VOC and NOx motor vehicle emission budgets.

As previously mentioned, the other criterion for determining conformity would have been the progress in implementation of the Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) contained in the SIPs. However, since previous consultation had determined that there were no approved TCMs, that criterion did not affect the determination of conformity. The results of the regional emissions analyses for ozone precursors are discussed below.

8-hour Ozone Analysis

The eight-hour ozone redesignation SIPs of Indiana and Kentucky contain emission budgets for the precursors of ozone, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of Nitrogen (NOx). The regional emissions analysis was conducted to provide estimates of the levels of emissions of VOCs and NOx for the various analysis years. These emission levels were then compared to the budgets in the SIPs to determine if the conformity tests were passed. The results of the regional emissions analysis are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 shows the summer weekday vehicle-miles-traveled from the analysis. Table 2 shows that for 2025 and 2030, the summer weekday VOC and NOx emission levels for the 2015 8-hour nonattainment area are less than the 2019 base year emissions in the 2015 8-hour ozone redesignation SIP. Table 2 also shows that for 2035, 2040, and 2050, the summer weekday VOC and NOx emission levels for the 2015 8-hour nonattainment area are less than the motor vehicle emission budgets established in the 2015 8-hour ozone redesignation SIP.

Conclusions – 8-hour Ozone

The regional emissions analysis of *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* indicates that the Metropolitan Transportation Plan is consistent with the goals and emission budgets established in the State Implementation Plans of Indiana and Kentucky. The cumulative effect of the results shown in Table 2 indicates that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* has met the requirements of conformity under the 2015 8-hour ozone standards. In summary, it can be concluded that *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* conforms to the SIPs and meets the requirements of the federal conformity rule.

TABLE 1

SUMMER WEEKDAY VEHICLE-MILES-TRAVELED (VMT) ESTIMATED FOR				
THE 8-HOUR OZONE NONATTAINMENT AREA (in 1000's of vmt/day)				
YEAR	INDIANA	KENTUCKY	TOTAL	
2025	8071	26508	34579	
2030	8485	27937	36422	
2035	8908	29259	38167	
2040	9348	30465	39813	
2050	10219	32843	43062	

TABLE 2

SUMMER WEEKDAY EMISSIONS FOR THE 8-HOUR NONATTAINMENT AREA (kg/day) EMISSION LEVELS FOR VARIOUS YEARS					
YEAR Area VOCs NOx PASS					
2025	-	7343	16560	YES	
2030		5130	10276	YES	
2035	Regional	4234	6609	YES	
2040		3801	5245	YES	
2050		3464	4665	YES	

NOTE: The criteria for conformity are as follows:

2025 and 2030 Regional emission levels for VOCs must be below the redesignation SIP base year (2019) emissions of 13.65 tons/day or 12,383 kg/day.

2025 and 2030 Regional emission levels for NOx must be below the redesignation SIP base year (2019) emissions of 33.03 tons/day or 29,964 kg/day.

2035, 2040, and 2050 Regional emission levels for VOCs must be below the redesignation SIP emission budget (2035) of 5.51 tons/day or 4,999 kg/day.

2035, 2040, and 2050 Regional emission levels for NOx must be below the redesignation SIP emission budget (2035) of 17.18 tons/day or 15,585 kg/day.



Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan- Amendment 5 FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program- Amendment 5 Interagency Consultation Group Conference Call Meeting Minutes January 19, 2024 10:00 AM EDT

Participants:

EPA – Dianna Myers, Tony Maietta, Weston Freund, Richard Wong, Will Carnright, & Simone Jarvis FTA - Cecilia Crenshaw FHWA – Nick Vail KYTC – Tom Hall & Isidro Delgado Herrera LMAPCD – Craig Butler & Michelle King KYDAQ- Lauren Hedge & Anna Bowman INDOT – Jay Mitchell TARC – Aida Copic KIPDA – Andy Rush, Randy Simon, Jeremeih Shaw, Chris Nicolas, Brady Hill, Spencer Williams, & Kyle Thorne

Welcome/Roll Call:

A total of 23 participants, representing nine local, state, regional, and federal agencies participated in the IAC Conference Call for Amendment 5 of KIPDA's *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and the *FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program* (TIP). The meeting began shortly after 10:00 AM EDT on January 19, 2024. Ms. Chris Nicolas conducted roll call and introduced KIPDA staff in attendance.

Project Discussion:

KIPDA staff began leading a discussion of the 30 projects included in Amendment 5 seeking any comments and feedback from the group after briefly discussing the schedule of Amendment 5. Ms. Nicolas described the proposed changes to the first fifteen projects. No comments, questions, or concerns were raised about these projects.

Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



Ms. Nicolas asks for confirmation on whether KIPDA ID 2943 required an update to the model. Mr. Rush confirmed that the status as displayed in the Amendment 5 packet was correct. Mr. Maietta asks if the INDOT bridge replacement projects listed in Amendment 5 are planning to add any travel lanes with these projects. Mr. Rush states that these projects may need to be considered non-exempt with further clarification needed from the project sponsor. Mr. Maietta and Mr. Rush agree that the safest and best way to handle the issue would be to consider these bridge replacements projects as non-exempt. There are four INDOT bridge replacement projects that will have their status changed from exempt to non-exempt.

III KIPDA

Mr. Rush states that some of the projects in the amendment are included because the cost increase for the project was deemed to be significant enough to warrant public review. Ms. Nicolas continues to describe the proposed changes to the remaining fourteen projects with no other project questions or concerns from the group.

Schedule Discussion:

KIPDA staff briefly mentioned key dates (shown below) of the anticipated schedule for the amendment at the beginning of the meeting:

Key Dates for the schedule for Amendment 5

Project applications due from sponsors	December 15, 2023	
KIPDA Staff Reviews projects	January 3, 2024	
Air Quality Conformity Activities	January 4, 2024 – January 23,	
	2024	
Interagency Consultation Group (IAC) Coordination	January 19, 2024	
Public Comment Period	January 24, 2024 – February 7,	
	2024	
Transportation Technical Coordinating Committee (TTCC)	February 14, 2024	
Recommendation		
Comments sent to the Transportation Policy Committee	February 15, 2024	
(TPC)		
TPC Action	February 22, 2024	

Other Discussion

Ms. Myers makes a note to Ms. Nicolas to update the year date on the Amendment cover page from 2023 to 2024. Ms. Nicolas responds to a question from Mr. Vail by sharing the current KIPDA policy regarding what constitutes a significant project cost increase and how KIPDA is currently reviewing how that policy could be made clearer in the future. Ms. Meyers asks about the status of the air quality conformity activities. Ms. King states that the air quality conformity activities are not fully completed, but when they are completed, then the files will be sent out to the IAC group. No other questions were proposed by the group. Meeting adjourned at 10:30am.

III KIPDA

Addendum: Information related to Analysis Years and AQ Conformity Tests for Amendment 5

The following information was shared prior to the meeting, but not explicitly displayed during the meeting:

2015 8-hour Ozone Standard			
Analysis Year	/ear Conformity Test(s)		
2025	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions		
2030	Less than the 2019 SIP Base Year Emissions		
2035	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the 2015		
	8-hour standard		
2040	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the 2015		
	8-hour standard		
2050	Budget test using the 2035 MVEBs for the 2015		
	8-hour standard		

(1) Analysis Years and AQ Conformity Tests - see table below

Pollutants/Precursors of concern and related budgets

- SIP base year (2019 Base Year) emissions
- i. VOCs: 13.65 tons/day or 12,383 kg/day
- ii. NOx: 33.03 tons/day or 29,964 kg/day

SIP regional budget (2035 MVEB) emissions





- iii. VOCs: 5.51 tons/day or 4,999 kg/day
- iv. NOx: 17.18 tons/day or 15,585 kg/day

Horizon year of the *Connecting Kentuckiana 2050* Metropolitan Transportation Plan — 2050

A listing of any transportation control measures (TCMs) in SIPs, if applicable—there are none.





Agenda Item #06

MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Policy Committee (TPC)
- FROM: Spencer Williams
- DATE: February 15, 2024

SUBJECT: KIPDA Performance Management Plan (PMP) Safety Targets Update

FHWA requires that the MPOs that have chosen to set safety performance measure targets specific to their region, do so on an annual basis. The set of five safety performance measures are collectively referred to as PM I. More specifically, the five measures that FHWA prescribes are:

- Number of Fatalities
- Fatality Rate
- Number of Serious Injuries
- Serious Injury Rate
- Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries

MPOs are required to submit updated baselines and targets to their respective State DOTs for each of these five measures by February 27th. These baselines and targets are required to be presented as five-year rolling averages. A baseline for each measure has been established for the five-year period from 2018-2022. Proposed targets for each of the five measures reflecting 2020-2024, the methodology for establishing these targets, as well as a summary of 2023 crash data in the KIPDA Region will be discussed at the meeting.

Staff will also provide a high-level overview, as a refresher, on performance management as it relates to the other performance targets.

Action is requested.

Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5074 TDD: 1.800.648.6057





KIPDA

KIPDA Performance Management Plan (PMP) Safety Targets Update

2024 PMI Safety Baselines & Targets (Draft)

Summary

	2017-2021 Baseline set in Feb. 2023	2019-2023 Target set in Feb. 2023	2019-2023 Actual as of Feb. 2024	2018-2022 Baselines set in Feb. 2024	2020-2024 Targets set in Feb. 2024
Fatalities(F)	146.6	159.0	161.2	150.4	171.2
Fatality Rate*	1.33	1.50	1.48	1.38	1.57
Serious Injuries(SI)	662.7	650.1	641.0	643.5	638.4
Serious Injury Rate*	5.98	6.10	5.89	5.88	5.86
Non-Motorized F/SI	118.2	123.0	125.7	118.2	130.4

*per 100 Million VMT

Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5074 TDD: 1.800.648.6057







Agenda Item #7

MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Policy Committee

FROM: Andy Rush

DATE: February 15, 2024

SUBJECT: Every Commute Counts FY 2025 STBG Budget Adjustment

Since the COVID-19 pandemic, the expenditures of the Every Commute Counts rideshare program are significantly lower than the pre-2020 levels. While the number of active vanpools has stabilized, it is still less than what it once was, as it is for most public transportation providers.

For many years, the program has been funded through the STBG funds under the KIPDA Transportation Policy Committee's direct control. In FY 2024, the amount of STBG funds from Kentucky is \$1,204,973. This has increased by about 2% per year over many years. The amount of STBG funds from Indiana is \$127,000. This has remained constant over recent years.

Staff are proposing a reduction to the Kentucky component of these funds for FY 2025 and FY 2026. By reducing the amount programmed in the TIP for this program, funding becomes available for other projects, perhaps most notably for cost increases to existing projects.

Action is requested.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5074 TDD: 800.648.6056





Agenda Item #8

MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Policy Committee

FROM: Andy Rush

DATE: February 15, 2023

SUBJECT: Draft FY 2025 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

The Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) identifies the transportation planning activities in the metropolitan area for the year. It is required to include an in-depth description of the activities, the cost to perform these activities, as well as the sources of funding to be utilized to perform the activities.

The UPWP must be submitted each year by the end of April, with draft copies submitted for review by the end of February. Staff will submit the Draft FY 2025 UPWP to the State and Federal planning partners as required by the end of the month and will await comments. Final approval of the FY 2025 by the TPC can be expected at the April 25th meeting.

Due to the length of the document, it has not been included in the meeting packet. The Draft UPWP can be found on the KIPDA Website: <u>https://kipdatransportation.org/upwp-3/</u>

Action is requested.



11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5074 TDD: 800.648.6056



Agenda Item #9

MEMORANDUM

TO: Transportation Policy Committee (TPC)

FROM: Chris Nicolas

DATE: February 15, 2024

SUBJECT: Upcoming Amendment Schedule Discussion

Due to the preparation time and processing steps required for amendments, KIPDA staff are planning a tentative timeline for Amendment 6 to the FY2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). We will consider creating an additional amendment for any project updates that will require air-quality conformity analysis separately. A deadline will be set for sponsors to submit any new or existing project updates for the next amendment/s using the KIPDA project application links at the Transportation Planning Portal.

TPC approval of Amendment 6 is expected to be sought in May. Staff will engage the TPC in a discussion on the upcoming amendment schedule.

No action is requested.

ACROSS KENTUC

11520 Commonwealth Drive Louisville, KY 40299 www.kipda.org Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5047 TDD: 800.648.6056



Agenda Item #10

MEMORANDUM

- TO: Transportation Policy Committee
- FROM: Chris Nicolas
- DATE: February 15, 2024
- SUBJECT: Administrative Modification 9 to the FY 2023-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Connecting Kentuckiana 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

KIPDA staff will discuss the key updates included in Administrative Modification 9 to the FY 2023-2026 *Transportation Improvement Program* and *Connecting Kentuckiana* 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

Qualifying criteria for administrative modifications include the following actions:

- Correcting minor data entry errors.
- Splitting or combining projects without modifying the original project intent.
- Changing or clarifying elements of a project description (with no change in funding). This change would not alter the original project's intention.
- Moving a project from one funding category to another.
- Shifting the schedule of a project or phase within the years covered by the STIP/TIP (with no impact to fiscal constraint).
- Adding Planning, Design, ROW or Utilities phases to a construction project that is already included in the STIP.
- Updating project cost estimates (within the original project scope and intent) that do not impact fiscal constraint and updating the estimated total project cost in the MTP when applicable.
- Adding projects that are considered "grouped projects" that do not require public review, redemonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination.

These modifications are presented for your information only. The changes do not affect the fiscal constraint of the Transportation Improvement Program or progress of other projects in the program.



Phone: 502.266.6084 Fax: 502.266.5074 TDD: 1.800.648.6057